UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,125	08/25/2003	Byoung-Woo Cho	1701.1002	9836
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/12/200 LSEY LLP	7	EXAMINER	
SUITE 700		MORAN, KATHERINE M		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

~ â~	No. of the second secon	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Advisory Action	10/647,125	CHO, BYOUNG-WOO			
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Katherine Moran	3765 ⁻			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE	REPLY FILED 29 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
have under set fo may r	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER 70 asions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
J. Z	(a) \(\overline{			000400		
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		•			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
6. 🗀	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. 🖂	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of		
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and		
10. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).		
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).					
	Other:		1/1-	/ 11		
	• •		1 MhDTU-			
			Katherine Moran			
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3765			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 9-11, 18, 20, and 21 have been amended to further define the structural relationship between the front and rear headband parts in such a way not previously presented in the claims.